

## Repair Design, Test, and Process Considerations for Lightning Strikes

CACRC/MIL-HDBK-17 Conference Amsterdam, Netherlands May 7-11, 2007

## John M. Welch

Associate Technical Fellow – Composite Structures Propulsion Systems and Structures

May 7, 2007

john.m.welch@spiritaero.com



## Data for Repair Design – What was needed....

Spirit Nacelle Product Owners realized need to have additional lightning strike damage test data for existing and future products.

Experiences from prior products showed that damage events occurred due to lightning strikes on structure in service.

The product being analyzed is thin-faced, honeycomb reinforced, sandwich structure.

Testing would provide results from a variety of existing and evolving mesh protection schemes – comparative data for Zone 1A and 1B severe strike.

Testing results would assist in creating the potential to provide a prevention kit that could be bonded onto existing structure having no protection.

The goal then, was to create an understanding of the effects of the lightning strike event, and then to find a solution to avoid damage for future and existing products.



# Lightning Strike Testing and Creation of Damage Prevention Kit

#### **Test Panel Configurations**

Current Test Values for Lightning Strike Testing

**Baseline Nacelle Configuration** 

Comparative Test Panel Results – Pertinent Coupons

Non-Destructive Evaluation of Post-Struck Test Panels – Damage Assessment

Construction of Lightning Strike Damage Prevention Kit – Proof of Concept.



## Configurations Represented by Test Panels, 29 total panels- Test Strategy

1)Aramid Core 1 inch and 0.50 inch thick coupons to see if thickness made any difference Representative of inlet, fan cowl, and T/R outer cowl structure – all programs Tested with and without lightning strike protection Tested Interwoven Phos-Bronze, Copper, and Aluminum mesh lightning strike materials Tested both Zone 1A and 1B strikes – i.e. the most severe the structure could see Tested different types of isolation ply for cost saving potential Tested existing protection lay-up as "baseline" Tested different splice combinations 2)Fiberglass Acoustic Core 1 inch and 0.50 inch thick coupons to see if thickness made any difference Representative of inlet and fan duct T/R outer acoustic structure - all programs Tested with and without lightning strike protection Tested Interwoven Phos-Bronze, Copper, and Aluminum mesh lightning strike materials Tested both Zone 1A and 1B strikes -i.e. the most severe the structure could see Tested different types of isolation ply for cost saving potential Tested existing protection lay-up as "baseline" Tested different splice combinations 3) Aluminum Acoustic Core Tested only 1 inch thick, since in any Aluminum core application, 1 inch is min thickness Representative of inner wall and fan duct T/R outer acoustic structure - all programs Tested with and without lightning strike protection Tested only Aluminum mesh lightning strike materials Tested only Zone 1A strike



#### Configurations Represented by Test Panels

•	Panel ID Core Type	LSP	Isolation Type	Test Type
•	LSP-001 1" thk Korex	D800-AL	S-2 Glass(8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-002 1" thk Korex	D800-AL	E Glass (8-139, 108)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-003 1" thk Korex	D800-AL	Polyester (905SW)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-004 1" thk Korex	D800-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1B
•	LSP-005 1" thk Korex	D800-AL	E Glass (8-139, 108)	Zone 1B
•	LSP-006 1" thk Korex	8-336-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-007 1" thk Korex	8-336-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1B
•	LSP-008 1" thk Korex	Cu – 905	None	Zone 1A
•	LSP-009* 1" thk Korex	Phos-Bronze	None	Zone 1A
•	LSP-010**1" thk Korex	D800-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-011 1" thk HRP	D800-AL	S-2 Glass(8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-012 1" thk HRP	D800-AL	E Glass (8-139, 108)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-013 1" thk 4-25 AL	None	None	Zone 1A
•	LSP-014 1" thk HRP	D800-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1B
•	LSP-015 1" thk HRP	D800-AL	E Glass (8-139, 108)	Zone 1B
•	LSP-016 1" thk HRP	8-336-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-017 1" thk HRP	8-336-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1B
•	LSP-018 1" thk HRP	Cu – 905	None	Zone 1A
•	LSP-019**1" thk HRP	D800-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-020 1" thk 4-25 AL	D800-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-021 1" thk 4-25 AL	D800-AL	E-Glass (8-139, 108)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-022 0.5" Korex	D800-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-023 0.5" Korex	D800-AL	E-Glass (8-139, 108)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-024 0.5" HRP	D800-AL	S-2 Glass (8-154)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-025 0.5" HRP	D800-AL	E-Glass (8-139, 108)	Zone 1A
•	LSP-026 1" thk Korex	None	None	Zone 1A
•	LSP-027 1" thk HRP	None	None	Zone 1A

• \* Phos-Bronze was available to construct this panel

• \*\* Center splice width of panel per Figure 2. Butt splice per BAC5317-1, 0.06 inch max.

• Note: Duplicate panels for 007 and 016 were fabricated so that both Zone 1A and 1B testing could be accomplished on these configs.



## Test Specifications for Lightning Strike:

Zone 1A:

	A component -	Peak Amplitude of 200kA Action Integral of 2.5 X 10E6 Amps-squared-sec
	B component -	Peak Amplitude of 2kA Maximum Charge Transfer of 10 coulombs
	C component -	Average Amplitude of 500 A Maximum Charge Transfer of 20 coulombs
Zone 1	В:	
	A component -	Peak Amplitude of 200kA Action Integral of 2.5 X 10E6 Amps-squared-sec
	B component -	Peak Amplitude of 2kA Maximum Charge Transfer of 10 coulombs
	C component -	Average Amplitude of 500A Maximum Charge Transfer of 200 coulombs
	D component -	Peak Amplitude of 100kA Action Integral of .25 X 10 E 6 Amps-squared-sec







### **Typical Test Set-up, All Panels**





**Before Zone 1A strike** 



1/8 Korex Al Mesh S-2 Glass



After Zone 1A strike – LSP-001



1/8 Korex Al Mesh S-2 Glass

Damage size Is 3-4 inches, Damage depth Limited to Outside mesh ply and paint.



**Close-up of LSP-001** 



1/8 Korex No Mesh No Glass

Resultant damage punctured both facings with considerable damage to core -punched a "thru hole" all the way through this 1 inch panel. damage size 9 inches in any one direction.



LSP-026, identical to 001, except no protection



3/8 HRP Al Mesh S-2 Glass



After Zone 1A strike – LSP-011



3/8 HRP Al Mesh S-2 Glass

Damage size is 3-4 inches, damage depth limited to outside mesh ply and paint.



**Close-up of LSP-011 - Protected** 



3/8 HRP No Mesh No Glass

Resultant damage punctured both facings with considerable damage to core -punched a "thru hole" all the way through this 1 inch panel, damage size 8 inches in any one direction.



Close-up of LSP-027, identical to 011 except No Protection



3/8 PAA Al Mesh S-2 Glass



LSP-020, Aluminum Core, with protection, after Zone 1A strike



3/8 PAA Al Mesh S-2 Glass

Damage size of this panel was consistent with all other protected panels, 3-4 inches.



Close-up of LSP-020 after Zone 1A strike



3/8 PAA No Mesh No Glass

Extensive damage to unprotected aluminum core panel with both facings obliterated and large chunk of core melted – entire panel is delaminated with noticeable "bend" in panel.



LSP-013, Aluminum core, no protection, after Zone 1A strike J.M. Welch 5/7/2007



1/8 Korex Al Mesh S-2 Glass



LSP-022, 0.5 inch thick Arimid core, after Zone 1A strike – nearly identical damage size and shape to 1 inch thick Zone 1A panels.



3/8 HRP Al Mesh S-2 Glass



LSP-024, 0.5 inch thick, HRP core, with protection – identical to other Zone 1A strikes J.M. Welch 5/7/2007



1/8 Korex Phos-Bronze No Glass



LSP-009, Interwoven Phos-Bronze mesh, Aramid core, after Zone 1A strike J.M. Welch 5/7/2007



1/8 Korex Phos-Bronze No Glass

Damage Size extends 9 inches in any one direction, burn through down to core includes carbon plies – note interwoven mesh damaged all the way to panel periphery similar to detonation chord over amp response, i.e little burrs of interwoven wire stick up thru panel all over.



Close-up of LSP-009 after Zone 1A strike



1/8 Korex Cu Mesh No Glass



LSP-008, Copper mesh, aramid core, after Zone 1A strike



1/8 Korex Cu Mesh No Glass

Damage size 10-14 inches, Burn through down To the core, damage Extends through all Carbon plies, with Damage to core as Well.



Close-up of LSP-008 after Zone 1A strike





LSP-018, copper mesh, HRP core, after Zone 1A strike



3/8 HRP Cu Mesh No Glass

Damage size is 9-12 inches with damage penetrating into the carbon plies all the way down to the core.



Close-up of LSP-018, after Zone 1A strike



1/8Korex Al Mesh S-2 Glass Butt Splice

3-4 inch Damage zone Limited to outer Mesh ply.



LSP-010, after Zone 1A strike



1/8 Korex Al Mesh S-2 Glass

Damage size consistent with other protected panels using aluminum mesh 3-4 inches, mesh is only laminate damaged, no carbon plies were impacted.



Panel 009, butt splice using aluminum mesh



1/8 Korex Al Mesh S-2 Glass

#### 3-4 inch damage, mesh only

#### 5-6 inch damage, mesh only



#### Zone 1A on left, Zone 1B on right, panel 007 using aluminum mesh protection



#### 3/8 HRP

Al Mesh S-2 Glass 3-4 inch damage, mesh only





Zone 1A on left, Zone 1B on right, panel 016 using aluminum mesh protection



NDI



Typical NDI scan of 2' x 2' panels – nearly identical for all types – no descrepancies J.M. Welch 5/7/2007



#### 1/8 Korex Al Mesh

S-2 Glass

3-4 inch damage, mesh only - visual



1-2 inch damage, mesh only -validated via TTU (NDI)



Visual damage of LSP-001, LSP-001 TTU scan on right Note that NDI documented damage is slightly smaller than mesh burn area



1/8 Korex No Mesh No Glass

7-9 inch damage, thru hole - visual



## Damage area 12 inches, plus thru hole – Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-026, LSP-011 TTU scan on right

Note that NDI documented damage is larger than visual damage on unprotected panel J.M. Welch 5/7/2007



#### 3/8 HRP Al Mesh S-2 Glass

3-4 inch damage, mesh only - visual



Just under 3 inch damage, mesh only – Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-011, LSP-011 TTU scan on right Note that NDI documented damage is slightly smaller than mesh burn area J.M. Welch 5/7/2007



#### 3/8 HRP No Mesh No Glass

8 inch DIA damage, thru hole - visual



Damage area 10 inches, plus thru hole – Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-027, LSP-027 TTU scan on right

Note that NDI documented damage is larger than visual damage on unprotected panel



#### 3/8 Al-PAA Al Mesh S-2 Glass

6-7 inch DIA damage, mesh and 1-2 plies - visual



Damage area 10 inches, dis-bonded from core -Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-020, LSP-020 TTU scan on right

Note that NDI documented damage is larger than visual damage on protected aluminum core panel



3/8 PAA No Mesh No Glass

12-14 inch DIA, panel split, large area - visual



Damage area entire panel destroyed – Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-013, LSP-013 TTU scan on right

Note that NDI documented damage is much larger than visual damage on unprotected aluminum core panel



1/8 Korex<br/>Cu Mesh<br/>No Glass12-14 inch DIA, Outer 1-2 plies<br/>- visual

#### Damage area 11 inch DIA 1-2 ply– Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-008, LSP-008 TTU scan on right Note that NDI documented damage is much larger than visual damage on copper mesh protected panel, Attenuation "streaks" running vertical will have to be addressed in repair.....



1/8 Korex Phos-Bronze Mesh No Glass

9-11 inch DIA, Outer 1-2 plies - visual



Damage area 9 inch DIA 1 ply– dis-bonded From core at center 4-5 inch DIA Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-009, LSP-009 TTU scan on right

Note that NDI documented damage is "deeper into the thickness" than visual damage on Phos-Bronze protected panel.....note spotted attenuation representing "detonation chord" effect....



1/8 Korex (0.5 inch thk) Al Mesh S-2 Glass

3-4 inch DIA, mesh only - visual



Damage area 2 inch DIA, mesh only-Validated by TTU



Visual damage of LSP-022, LSP-022 TTU scan on right Note that NDI documented damage is less than visual damage on Al mesh protected 0.50 inch thick panel.....



Visual damage of LSP-024, LSP-024 TTU scan on right

Note that NDI documented damage is less than visual damage on Al mesh protected 0.50 inch thick panel.....





1-2 inch locations – validated by TTU

2-3 inch DIA locations – validated by TTU

Zone 1A on left, Zone 1B on right, panel 007 using aluminum mesh protection J.M. Welch 5/7/2007





Zone 1A on left, Zone 1B on right, panel 016 using aluminum mesh protection



3/8 HRP (1.0 inch thk) Al Mesh – Butt spliced S-2 Glass

3-4 inch DIA, mesh only - visual



LSP-019



Visual damage of LSP-019, LSP-019 TTU scan on right

Note that NDI documented damage is the least amount, all panels, for this configuration.....



### Conclusions from Testing for Lightning Strike: (Zone 1A and 1B Tested)

Protected panels using any type of mesh/protection performed infinitely better than non-protected panels.

Aluminum mesh provided smallest damage size and least amount of penetration to substrate plies

Aluminum mesh performed very well in either Zone 1A or 1B testing, 1B damage size was 25% larger, but penetration was the same, i.e. mesh only. Non-Destructive Testing confirmed that what was evident visually, was the damage size in need of repair.

Copper mesh resulted in damage size 3 times larger, with penetration into the substrate plies and damage to the core.

Interwoven Phos-Bronze resulted in damage size a minimum of 2 times larger depending on whether or not you deal with all the broken wires sticking up through the panel all the way to the edge. Plies were also disbonded from core in the center of the strike in a 4 inch dimension – i.e. deeper penetration.

Isolation ply types had no effect on aluminum mesh performance, S-2 glass and E-glass performed identically.

Panel thickness had no major effect on "protected" panel types. Visual damage size and depth was nearly identical for 0.5 inch thick panels as it was for 1 inch thick panels. The amount was not significant

Splice configurations had no effect on strike resistance to damage. Butt splices worked as well, if not better than some examples of overlap splice applications.

Aluminum core "unprotected" panels will result in large damage to that type of configuration, however, "protected" with aluminum mesh, aluminum core panels performed with small, manageable damage size areas, just like other non-metallic core panels.



Sample Panel is prepared and marked with locating templates – note dark outline of area to be prepared





Sanding is performed to the outline to remove all prior paint and surfacertypical in preparing for a bond event





One half of the area is sanded completely. Note that surface is prepared to avoid sanding into any substrate structures.







The pre-plied, pre-consolidated mesh/bond materials are extracted from the kit and FEP removed.



Sample Panel with mesh/bond materials in place, ready for curethe process was realized in halves-i.e. lower half of panel prepared and materials placed/bonded, then repeated for upper panel half....





Sample Panel with vacuum bag, heat blanket and thermocouples in place, ready for cure. Insulation was placed around the periphery of heat blanket to ensure no over-heating on part.





Sample Panel after cure and filling operations completed - paint applied. Entire operation occurred inside 8 hour shift. Spirit believes this can be done on, or off wing.





## Conclusions – Repair Design, Configured from Test Data

- The extensive test data for lightning strike damage enabled the configuration of the damage prevention kit. Materials data and panel response were the two key elements learned from the lightning strike testing.
- NDI provided further evidence of "performance" of protection methods during a lightning strike event.
- The damage prevention kit, applied like a repair, has the ability to quickly apply lightning strike materials to existing products. The proof of concept work on a sample panel provided evidence of the ability to quickly, and capably, apply lightning strike mesh to existing structure-on or off wing.
- Spirit continues to work the proof of concept details to perfect the process and repair.
- Spirit has created a damage prevention kit of consolidated elements supported by positioning templates and instructions.
- The combination of testing, repair design for damage prevention, and thorough understanding of the necessary processes to bond materials to existing structure has provided a solution to known fleet problems surrounding lightning strike damage.